



INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Investigation No. 337-TA-860

CERTAIN OPTOELECTRONIC DEVICES FOR FIBER OPTIC COMMUNICATIONS, COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING THE SAME

NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF INVESTIGATION

Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 25, 2012, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Avago Technologies Fiber IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; and Avago Technologies U.S. Inc. of San Jose, California. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on October 4, October 16, and October 17, 2012. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain optoelectronic devices for fiber optic communications, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,947,456 (“the ’456 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 5,596,595 (“the ’595 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10 (2012).

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 24, 2012, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain optoelectronic devices for fiber optic communications, components thereof, and products containing the same that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 11-13, 15, and 20-24 of the '456 patent and claims 14, 17, and 19 of

the '595 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. §§ 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Avago Technologies Fiber IP

(Singapore) Pte. Ltd.

1 Yishun Avenue 7

Singapore 768923

Avago Technologies General IP

(Singapore) Pte. Ltd.

1 Yishun Avenue 7

Singapore 768923

Avago Technologies U.S. Inc.

350 West Trimble Road, Building 90

San Jose, CA 95131

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

IPtronics A/S

Langebjergvaenget 8B st

DK-40000 Roskilde

Denmark

IPtronics Inc.

1370 Willow Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025

FCI USA, LLC

825 Old Trail Road

Etters, PA 17319

FCI Deutschland GmbH

175 Holzhauser Str, Floor E

Berlin 13509

Germany

FCI SA

Immeuble Calypso

18 Parc Ariane III
3-5 Rue Alfred Kastler
78280 Guyancourt
France

Mellanox Technologies, Inc.
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

Mellanox Technologies Ltd.
Beit Mellanox
Yokneam, Israel 20692

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: October 25, 2012

[FR Doc. 2012-26631 Filed 10/29/2012 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 10/30/2012]